## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

Mark O. Hatfield US Courthouse, 1000 Southwest 3rd Avenue, Room 740, Portland, OR 97204-2802

Tribunal: - Unified United States Common Law Grand Jury1:

P.O. Box 59; Valhalla, New York 10595

₩e the People, UUSCLGJ,
CORAM NOBIS²

Sureties of the Peace

Against

Magistrate Patricia Sullivan,

Respondent

Assigned: Magistrate Patricia Sullivan

CASE NO. 1776-1789-2015, de jure

## #PRIT MANDAMUS SHOW CAUSE

**WE COMMAND:** Magistrate Patricia Sullivan to show proof of filings concerning Case Number 1776-1789-2015 or Show Cause by what authority you do not respond to this Order.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "THE GRAND JURY is an institution separate from the courts over whose functioning the courts do not preside... the Grand Jury is mentioned in the Bill of Rights but not in the body of the Constitution. It has not been textually assigned, therefore, to any of the branches described in the first three (3) Articles. It is a constitutional fixture in its own right. In fact, the whole theory of its function is that it belongs to no branch of the institutional government, serving as a kind of buffer or referee between the Government and the People... The Grand Jury's functional independence from the Judicial Branch is evident, both in the scope of its power to investigate criminal wrongdoing and in the manner in which that power is exercised. 'Unlike [a] [c]ourt, whose jurisdiction is predicated upon a specific case or controversy, the Grand Jury can investigate merely on suspicion that the law is being violated; or, even because it wants assurance that it is not." United States v. John H. Williams, 112 S. Ct. 1735, 504; U.S. 36, 118, L. Ed. 2d, 352, (1992).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> **CORAM NOBIS:** Before us ourselves (the King's Bench). Applied to Writs of Error directed to another branch of the same court, e. g., from the full bench to the court at nisi prius. 1 Archb. Pr. K. B. 234.

As the assigned Magistrate in this case you have a duty to act and speak;<sup>3</sup> Silence when you have a duty to speak is fraud. Speaking through an Attorney is not speaking.

Attached is a copy of Contempt of Court with Opportunity to Amend served upon Chief Clerk Mary L. Moran. Respondent has three (3) days to obey.

THE COURT January 27, 2016

(seal)

Grand Jury Foreman

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "Silence can only be equated with fraud where there is a legal or moral duty to speak, or where an inquiry left unanswered would be intentionally misleading. . ." U.S. v. Tweel, 550 F.2d 297, 299. See also U.S. v. Prudden, 424 F.2d 1021, 1032; Carmine v. Bowen, 64 A. 932